Search for FCNC with top quark and Higgs at ATLAS experiment



Xin Chen Tsinghua University



粒子物理前沿讨论会 01/20-25, 2019, 中山大学

Introduction

- Flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) in the decay of t→Hq (q=u,c) is highly suppressed in the SM
 - The GIM can render partial cancelation among the loop amplitudes where the Higgs is irradiated by a W



 $V_{tb}V_{cb}^* + V_{ts}V_{cs}^* + V_{td}V_{cd}^*$

• BR can be enhanced by BSM:

	SM	QS	2HDM	FC 2HDM	MSSM	RPV SUSY
BR(t→Hu)	2×10 ⁻¹⁷	~10 ⁻⁵	~ 10⁻⁵	-	~ 10⁻⁵	~10 ⁻⁶
BR(t→Hc)	3×10 ⁻¹⁵	~ 10⁻⁵	~ 10⁻³	~10 ⁻⁵	~ 10⁻⁵	~10 ⁻⁶

[PRD 67 (2003) 035003, PRD 55 (1997) 3156, PRD 75 (2007) 075021, PRD 58 (1998) 055001, PRD 75 (2007) 015002, et al.]

FCNC t \rightarrow qH($\gamma\gamma$) search

Jecococococococococococococo W Events with 2 photons, p_T > 40, 30 GeV, $100 < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 160 \text{ GeV}$ FCNC jet g g Η γ FCNC top SM top Events are further divided into leptonic and hadronic modes Pass invariant mass Pass invariant mass depending on the other cut of both tops cut of FCNC top only top decay 4/2 jets for hadronic/ Category 1 Category 2 leptonic channels

[JHEP 10 (2017) 129]

[JHEP 10 (2017) 129]

FCNC t \rightarrow qH($\gamma\gamma$) search



FCNC t \rightarrow qH($\gamma\gamma$) search

[JHEP 10 (2017) 129]



Obs. (exp.) BR(t→uH) (%)	Obs. (exp.) BR(t→cH) (%)
0.24 (0.17)	0.22 (0.16)

FCNC t \rightarrow qH with multilepton (ML) search

- Same production, but search for $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$, WW*, ZZ* with 2 or 3 leptons (e/ μ) in the final state
 - Same-sign 2 leptons, ≥4 jets, 1 or 2 b-jets
 - ➢ 3 leptons, ≥2 jets, ≥1 b-jet



FCNC t \rightarrow qH with multilepton (ML) search

 Re-use ttH analysis, update new background estimation and new BDT training
[PRD 98 (2018) 032002]



FCNC t \rightarrow qH with multilepton (ML) search



[PRD 98 (2018) 032002]

Obs. (exp.) BR(t→uH) (%)	Obs. (exp.) BR(t→cH) (%)
0.16 (0.15)	0.19 (0.15)

FCNC t \rightarrow qH(bb) search

[arXiv:1812.11568]

- Require exactly 1 lepton, ≥4 jets, ≥2 b-jet
- 9 analysis regions with different combinations of *n* jets + *m* b-jets



• Most sensitive regions are 5j,3b and 4j,3b

FCNC t \rightarrow qH(bb) search

[arXiv:1812.11568]



Use Likelihood (LH) discriminant based on twobody and threebody invariant masses that correspond to the expected resonances under signal ans background hypotheses per event

$$L(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{P^{\text{sig}}(\mathbf{x})}{P^{\text{sig}}(\mathbf{x}) + P^{\text{bkg}}(\mathbf{x})}$$

FCNC t \rightarrow qH($\tau\tau$) search

- Due to boosted taus, the neutrinos from tau decay tend to be aligned with the visible tau decay products. Assuming exact collinearity, the di-tau mass can be uniquely reconstructed ("collinear mass")
- However, ATLAS used a mass reconstruction method, which builds a likelihood that incorporates tau decay kinematics MMC, which improves the di-tau mass reconstruction



Hadronic and leptonic taus are parameterized (based on MC info.) differently, depending on if the total invisible 4-vetor has a mass

$H \longrightarrow \tau \tau Mass \quad \text{[PRD 93 (2016) 113010]}$

• The invisible 4-vectors from tau decays are obtained per event by minimizing a combined χ^2 :

$$\chi^{2} = -2\ln P_{1} - 2\ln P_{2} + \left(\frac{m_{\tau_{1}}^{\text{fit}} - 1.78}{\sigma_{\tau}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{m_{\tau_{2}}^{\text{fit}} - 1.78}{\sigma_{\tau}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{m_{H}^{\text{fit}} - 125}{\sigma_{H}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{E_{x,\text{mis}}^{\text{fit}} - E_{x,\text{mis}}}{\sigma_{\text{mis}}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{E_{y,\text{mis}}^{\text{fit}} - E_{y,\text{mis}}}{\sigma_{\text{mis}}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{E_{y,\text{mis}}^{\text{$$

The H $\rightarrow \tau \tau$ mass resolution improves with different constraints:



Black: MET constraint only (collinear mass) Red (left): MET + tau kinematics constraint Red (right): MET + tau kinematics constraint + Nominal Higgs mass constraint

Signal categorization $(t \rightarrow qH, H \rightarrow \tau\tau)$ [PRD 93 (2016) 113010]



Assigning jets to the signal topology $(t\bar{t} \rightarrow WbHc \rightarrow qqb\tau\tau c)$ can not always be done correctly: some jets' p_T is below object acceptance, multiple extra jets from QCD radiation:

- Radiation jets are often softer that the ones from top decay
- The FCNC jet from t→Hc decay, and the subleading jet from W boson decay, have non-negligible chances of missing the object selection, with the former leading to **unmatched** part of the signal

Consequently, divide the events into 3 jets and ≥ 4 jets categories, and each is further divided into $\tau_{lep}\tau_{had}$ and $\tau_{had}\tau_{had}$ channels

In the so-called 3-jet category:

• For the 3-jet events (at least one of which should be b-tagged), if they can form a top, and satisfy

$$\left(\frac{m_{j_1 j_2} - 80.4}{20}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{m_{j_1 j_2 b} - 172.5}{25}\right)^2 < 5,$$

Then this event is discarded (no c-jet in the event)

• Otherwise, the c-jet is defined as the configuration that gives the least ΔR sum of (think of the boosted topology):

 $\Delta R(j_c,H) + \Delta R(j_{W1},b)$

In the 4-jet bin (\geq 4 jets inclusively), only the leading 4 jets are considered, out of which at least one should be b-tagged. The c-jet is also found by a minimum ΔR sum:

$$\Delta R(j_c, H) + \Delta R(j_{W1}, b) + \Delta R(j_{W2}, b) + \Delta R(j_{W1}, j_{W2})$$

FCNC top mass $(t \rightarrow qH, H \rightarrow \tau\tau)$ [PRD 93 (2016) 113010]

After the jet assignment, the top mass from the t \rightarrow Hc decay can be reconstructed:



- Analogous to the $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ chnnael, a top mass peak appears for events with truthmatched FCNC jet, but we also have a fraction of the signal events with unmatched FCNC jet
- The background is also not peaking in this mass distribution as shown in the right plot

Higgs mass and MET $(t \rightarrow qH, H \rightarrow \tau \tau)$ [PRD 93 (2016) 113010]

The di-tau mass in background (dominated by ttbar) also changes after the fit:



Meanwhile, the MET gets worse (better) in the background (signal):



Higgs mass and MET $(t \rightarrow qH, H \rightarrow \tau \tau)$ [PRD 93 (2016) 113010]

- At reconstruction level, this means that MET varied within its resolution to get closer to the true MET in signal, while it has to vary a lot (a large fake MET is created) to conform to the mass constraint
- The variation in MET vector can be expressed in terms of MET projections:



The MET change can be used as a discriminant between signal and background, with the BDT method

FCNC t \rightarrow qH($\tau\tau$) search

[arXiv:1812.11568]



FCNC t \rightarrow qH($\tau\tau$) search

- Data

[arXiv:1812.11568]





 Require exactly 1 b-jet

•

- Use datadriven background estimation for jet faking τ_{had}
- Use 9-14 variables for BDT analysis
- Most sensitive regions are 4jet categories

FCNC t \rightarrow qH(bb, $\tau\tau$) search [arXiv:1812.11568]



	Obs. (exp.) BR(t→uH) (%)	Obs. (exp.) BR(t→cH) (%)
H→bb	0.52 (0.49)	0.42 (0.40)
Η→ττ	0.17 (0.20)	0.19 (0.21)

FCNC t \rightarrow qH combination [arXiv:1812.11568]

$\begin{array}{c} ^{-3} (4.0 \times 10^{-3}) & 5.2 \times 10^{-3} (4.0 \times 10^{-3}) \\ ^{-3} (2.1 \times 10^{-3}) & 1.7 \times 10^{-3} (1.5 \times 10^{-3}) \\ ^{-3} (1.5 \times 10^{-3}) & 1.9 \times 10^{-3} (1.6 \times 10^{-3}) \\ ^{-3} (1.6 \times 10^{-3}) & 2.4 \times 10^{-3} (1.6 \times 10^{-3}) \\ ^{-3} (8.3 \times 10^{-4}) & 1.2 \times 10^{-3} (1.6 \times 10^{-3}) \end{array}$	$4.9 \times 10^{-3})$ $2.0 \times 10^{-3})$ $1.5 \times 10^{-3})$ $1.7 \times 10^{-3})$ $8.3 \times 10^{-4})$
$^{-3} (8.3 \times 10^{-4}) 1.2 \times 10^{-3} (8.3 \times 10^{-3})$	3.3×10^{-4})
0.1 0.09 ATLA VS=13 0.08 0.07 0.06 0.05 0.04 0.03	S TeV, 36.1 fb ⁻¹ Observed limit Expected limit ± 1σ Expected limit ± 2σ All limits at 95% CL
(

LHC top FCNC (summary)



https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/LHCTopWGSummaryPlots



Summary

First phase of ATLAS program for FCNC top-quark decay into Higgs searches is carried out for all major Higgs decay modes with 36.1 fb⁻¹ of run-2 data. No significant excess in t \rightarrow qH process is observed in the ttbar production

The current results give the best limit on BR(t \rightarrow qH) so far, which also outperforms run-1 limits. Limits entering a region where the most optimal estimation for BR(t \rightarrow qH) at ~10⁻³ as predicted by some models

Search for FCNC continues to provide a very promising area to test BSM physics predictions. Stay tuned for the results based on full Run-2 data, and run-3

Backup Slides

References:

ATLAS: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/TopPublicResults